

of Nebraska, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1817) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. VUCANOVICH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the consideration of the bill, H.R. 1817, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Nevada?

There was no objection.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, due to an unavoidable absence, I missed the following votes, and had I been present I would have voted as follows:

Rollcall vote 381, "aye"; rollcall vote 382, "aye"; rollcall 383, "aye"; and rollcall vote 384, "aye".

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. FAZIO of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask for this time in order to request of the majority leader information about next week's schedule.

I yield to my friend, the gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY], if he would be willing to inform the Members about what we have to look forward to.

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman from California for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, the House will meet in pro forma session on Monday, June 19. There will be no recorded votes on Monday.

On Tuesday, the House will meet at 9 o'clock a.m. for morning hour and 10 o'clock a.m. for legislative business.

After 1-minute, we plan to take up the rule for H.R. 1854, the fiscal year 1996 legislative branch appropriations bill.

If a recorded vote is ordered on the rule, that vote will be postponed until later in the day.

□ 1415

After debate on the legislative branch rule we will take up House Resolution 168, legislation implementing Corrections Day procedures for the House. Upon completion of this legislation we will hold the recorded vote on the rule accompanying the legislative branch appropriations bill, if a vote was ordered. We then plan to finish H.R. 1817, the fiscal year 1996 military

construction appropriations bill and begin debate on the legislative branch appropriations bill. Members should be advised that recorded votes may come as early as 12 noon on Tuesday.

On Wednesday and Thursday the House will meet at 10 a.m. to consider two appropriations bills: H.R. 1868, the fiscal year 1996 foreign operations appropriations bill, subject to a rule; and the fiscal year 1996 energy and water appropriations bill, subject to a rule.

It is our hope to have Members on their way home to their families and their districts by no later than 6 p.m. on Thursday. There will be no recorded votes on Friday.

Mr. FAZIO of California. If the gentleman could help us on a matter relating to the Committee on Rules, I understand the Committee on Rules will be meeting on Monday to prepare to bring to the floor on Tuesday some of the rules that the gentleman has alluded to. I am wondering if we could determine what time the Committee on Rules will be meeting. I am one concerned. I will be flying back from California Fathers' Day, Sunday, and I have an interest in the legislative branch bill, of course, along with the gentleman from California [Mr. PACKARD].

Mr. ARMEY. If the gentleman will yield further, if I may make a comment, in the original schedule for the month, Monday was to have been a day on which we would have had votes. Because of so many considerations, we did manage to relieve all of the Members at large of votes on Monday, but the Committee on Rules must necessarily meet at 2 o'clock on Monday, and I appreciate that it is an inconvenience in the gentleman's personal life, but hopefully it will be helpful to the rest of the Members we were able to do that.

Mr. FAZIO of California. I am hopeful I will be able to get here by 3:30 or 4, the first plane out. Do you expect the Committee on Rules to have completed its work and filed its rules by 4 o'clock? I do not know what the urgency is, but I gather there is some. Is that right?

Mr. ARMEY. If the gentleman will yield further, the Committee on Rules hopes to file by 6 but they would expect to conclude testimony before the committee by about 4:30.

Mr. FAZIO of California. I may be able to get here just for the latter part of that testimony, and I appreciate my friend with his assistance from the standpoint of the staff of the committee.

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FAZIO of California. I yield to the gentleman from Maryland.

Mr. CARDIN. I would hope the majority leader might be able to give us some indication whether the privileged resolution that was rumored to be taken up this afternoon concerning waivers of the number of committees that a Member is permitted to serve on

was going to be brought to the floor. We understand it is not being brought to the floor today. My question is: Do we anticipate a resolution will be brought up next week? If that is the case, can the leader assure us that we will have some opportunity to debate that issue? It is a major concern to many of us, the reforms of the House, as to how many committees a Member can serve on.

Mr. ARMEY. If the gentleman will yield further, we believe it is possible we may bring that up next week, and, of course, it is subject to an hour for debate in accordance with the rules of the House.

Mr. CARDIN. If the gentleman will continue to yield, I appreciate that. I would ask the leader if he would consider giving us some notice before that is brought to the floor and yield the customary time to the opponent of that type of a resolution in order that we can have a full debate on the floor of the House.

Mr. ARMEY. We will, of course, do our best to give you good notice, and we will, of course, examine the time constraints and certainly take your request under consideration.

Mr. WARD. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FAZIO of California. I yield to the gentleman from Kentucky.

Mr. WARD. If I might ask the gentleman from Texas, in looking at next week's schedule, I wonder if you would expect to bring up the billionaire expatriate tax loophole bill.

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman for your inquiry.

No, I do not anticipate that coming up next week. I have not talked to the Committee on Ways and Means yet, and I do not have any time scheduled for that at this point.

Mr. WARD. Well, if I might ask further, do you think that you could give us notice? I have many constituents who are interested in this bill, many constituents of other Members who have inquired, and if I could ask and seek the leader's help in getting some advance notice so we may know when to anticipate that bill.

Mr. ARMEY. Again, if the gentleman would yield further, we would certainly give you as much advance notice as you may need. You may want to go to the Committee on Rules, any number of things. I have not begun consideration of that bill yet from the Committee on Ways and Means, but certainly will give you every bit of notice we can.

Mr. WARD. I thank the gentleman. Mr. FAZIO of California. Could the gentleman tell us when we would be completing our business on Tuesday and Wednesday?

Mr. ARMEY. Each night next week at this point we anticipate being able to be out of here by 6 or 6:30.

Mr. FAZIO of California. No evening next week would normally be expected to be here later?

Mr. ARMEY. If I may tell the gentleman, I have great expectations and